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ISSUE:

Violence is a major management issue for forensic mental health systems. Violence can be approached as a medical syndrome and deconstructed into psychotic, impulsive, and predatory subtypes, which are hypothetically mapped onto corresponding malfunctioning brain circuits. Rational management of violence balances treatment with security, while targeting each subtype of violence with approaches unique to the psychotic, impulsive, and predatory forms of violence.

Take-Home Points

- Inadequate treatment of disorders characterized by psychosis, impulsivity, or psychopathy can all manifest themselves as violent and aggressive behaviors.
- Distinct neurocircuits are the hypothetical neurobiological substrates for psychotic, impulsive, and predatory violence.
- When evidence-based, first-line psychopharmacological treatments fail to reduce violence, high-dose antipsychotic monotherapy and antipsychotic polypharmacy can hypothetically target dysfunctional neurocircuits that mediate psychotic and impulsive violence, but not those dysfunctional neurocircuits that hypothetically mediate predatory violence.

Introduction

Violence reduction is the new mission of forensic mental health systems, as it defines who enters these settings, who has the most disruptive symptoms in these settings, and whether an individual can leave these settings.1,2 Given the enormous consequences of violent behavior in patients within forensic mental health systems, there is great need for effective treatments that can reduce violence in these patients, particularly when evidence-based treatments fail to control it.1,2 Unfortunately, almost all large, randomized, controlled trials of psychotropic agents exclude patients who are violent3 because such trials are often impractical or even unethical in violent forensic patients. Thus, establishing the best treatment strategy for violent patients who exhibit psychotic and impulsive symptoms due to major mental illnesses is difficult, and must necessarily rely on smaller trials, open trials, expert consensus, and case-based evidence.4-35 Discussed here are both the hypothesized dysfunctional neural circuitry underlying violence in forensic settings, as well as treatment strategies based on rational targeting of brain circuits that may mediate this violent behavior.

Deconstructing the Syndrome of Violence in Psychotic Patients

The RDoC approach to violence

Violence can be deconstructed into its component symptoms, as can any other psychiatric syndrome, and these symptoms can be theoretically mapped to
hypothetically malfunctioning brain circuits. This idea models the dimensional approach taken to psychopathology by the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) strategy, which complements the categorical diagnostic strategy of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) of the American Psychiatric Association.36 Specifically, violence in forensic settings can be approached as a medical syndrome,1,2 and can be deconstructed into 3 major symptom domains: psychotic, impulsive, and predatory,2,37–46 each with a hypothetically distinct neurobiological basis and different theoretically malfunctioning brain circuits. (eg, Stahl and Morrissette43). Although many if not most patients in forensic settings have a psychotic illness, this is actually the least common type of violence (Figure 1).37–46 Predatory violence may actually be more common than psychotic violence in forensic settings. Although predatory violence is not the most common form of violence in forensic settings, it is often the most severe, but perhaps the least treatable, and therefore requires therapeutic security measures. It is actually impulsive violence that is the most common in forensic settings, and thus the subtype of violence that is in most desperate need of treatment in terms of frequency of occurrence, as well as relative lack of evidence from large, multicenter, randomized trials.37–46 Empiric findings from recent treatment guidelines2,3 and from clinical experience, as well as the existing literature,4–35 suggest that novel and aggressive psychopharmacologic management may reduce impulsive violence in this population.

**Psychotic violence**

Psychotic violence is attributed to positive symptoms of psychosis, most commonly paranoid delusions of threat or persecution, command hallucinations, and grandiosity.2–35,47 Such psychotic symptoms may lead to violent behavior due to the assailant misunderstanding or misinterpreting environmental stimuli. In line with this, a recent study determined that 59% of individuals with schizophrenia who had committed
acts of homicide were experiencing delusions, with a worsening of delusions in the months leading up to the homicidal act.19 Psychotic violence is hypothetically linked to excessive neuronal activity in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway (Figure 2), where positive symptoms of psychosis are hypothetically mediated.47 Psychotic violence linked to positive symptoms should hypothetically respond to suppression of this dopamine overactivity, and if standard doses of monotherapies or clozapine are ineffective, may be responsive to high-dosing or antipsychotic polypharmacy.2,3,37–46 If standard doses fail to attain adequate plasma drug levels, ie, a pharmacokinetic failure (Figure 3),2,11–15,48–50 or if standard doses do attain adequate plasma drug levels but are nevertheless ineffective in reducing violence, ie, a pharmacodynamic failure (Figure 3),2,11–15,48–50 clozapine, high doses of monotherapies, or administration of 2 antipsychotics may be effective for positive symptoms driving psychotic violence (Figure 3).2–35 The rationale, consensus guidelines, evidence, and case-based examples are beginning to emerge from the literature for how to treat patients with psychotic violence unresponsive to standard, first-line, evidence based treatments,2–35 but much further research in this area remains to be done, and the positive results from the reported studies need to be replicated.

**Impulsive violence**

Sometimes called reactive violence, impulsive violence generally involves no planning, and is usually an immediate response to an environmental stimulus.38–43

---

**Figure 2.** Brain areas related to violent and aggressive behavior. Impaired neurotransmission in various brain regions may contribute to the propensity for violent or aggressive behavior. The specific type of aggressive behavior is likely correlated with dysfunction in specific neural circuits. For example, both impulsive and psychotic aggression have been hypothesized to involve excessive reactivity to perceived threats (bottom-up out of control) and inadequate cortical regulation (top-down out of control). Although they are perhaps present in all patients with schizophrenia, structural and functional abnormalities in the frontal and temporal cortices as well as reduced connectivity between these brain areas may be more severe in aggressive patients than in those who are not aggressive. In the amygdala, fear conditioning seems to be excessive in both psychotic and impulsive aggression, whereas individuals with psychopathic aggression seem to lack fear conditioning.
Impulsive violence may reflect emotional hypersensitivity and exaggerated threat perception, and may be linked with an imbalance between “top-down” cortical inhibitory controls and “bottom-up” impulsive drives (Figure 4). Both impulsive and psychotic aggression can occur in patients with schizophrenia, although impulsive violence is common in many other disorders, including mood disorders, personality disorders, substance abuse, and many more.

Both impulsive and psychotic violence have been hypothesized to involve excessive reactivity to perceived threat (bottom-up out of control) coupled with inadequate cortical regulation (top-down out of control) (Figure 4). Consistent with this, structural and functional abnormalities in frontal and temporal cortices and reduced connectivity between these brain areas have been reported. Although perhaps present in all patients with schizophrenia, these findings may be more severe in aggressive patients compared with those who are nonviolent. Impaired top-down control is most strongly associated with portions of the prefrontal cortex (PFC), including the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) and ventromedial PFC (VMPFC), as well as the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). These regions are involved in decision making; dysfunction in these areas results in lack of recognition of consequences, inability to use previously learned information about reward and punishment, misinterpretation of emotionally neutral stimuli as being negative, and impaired recognition of social cues.

Within the temporal lobe, the amygdala is most highly implicated in violent and aggressive behaviors.
The amygdala is involved in the rapid detection of threat, as well as the excitation of fight-or-flight responses. Modulation of amygdala activity comes from prefrontal brain areas; connections between these prefrontal and limbic regions may be impaired in individuals who are impulsively aggressive.7,43,51–58

The amygdala is hyperactive in the case of impulsive aggression, but hypoactive in the case of psychopathic (predatory) aggression.43,46,51

As in psychotic violence, impulsive violence may be responsive to antipsychotic polypharmacy or high dosing when standard doses of monotherapies or clozapine fail to control impulsive violence.4–35

Additional studies of impulsive violence and high-dose antipsychotics or antipsychotic polypharmacy are greatly needed.

**Predatory violence**

Predatory, or psychopathic, violence involves aggressive acts that are characterized by planning of assaults, predatory gain, and lack of remorse.237–46 A moderate...
proportion of all violent acts and a high proportion of the most severe violent acts are due to psychopathy (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{2,32,46} The neurobiological basis of psychopathy is currently under intense investigation, and findings suggest that predatory/psychopathic violence may be associated with deficient fear conditioning in the amygdala.\textsuperscript{43,48,51}

Even though predatory violence in patients with psychotic illnesses may be common in forensic settings, this can occur in psychotic patients who have positive symptoms under control, and whose predatory violence will not respond to antipsychotics, including high dosing and polypharmacy. In fact, it is not clear whether predatory violence responds to any kind of treatment, least of all, psychopharmacologic interventions.\textsuperscript{2,37,46} Psychopathic violence is not responsive to antipsychotics when comorbid psychotic symptoms are under control. Such patients may require restricted housing or “therapeutic security” rather than antipsychotics.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Confounding factors

When selecting treatments for violent patients in forensic settings, it is important to consider the numerous confounding factors that may contribute to violent behavior, such as substance use disorders, personality disorders, cognitive dysfunction, mood disorders, noncompliance, etc.\textsuperscript{2,37,46,39,61} Substance use issues are highly prevalent in patients with mental illness; approximately half of patients with schizophrenia have a comorbid substance use disorder.\textsuperscript{37–46} Substances of abuse in particular may exacerbate symptoms of schizophrenia and lead to violence due to the effects of drugs of abuse on impulse control.\textsuperscript{18} In fact, the risk of violent behavior in patients with schizophrenia is 4 times greater if there is comorbid substance abuse.\textsuperscript{20} Addressing substance use disorders is an integral part of the treatment plan for patients with schizophrenia, and may help prevent violence in this population.\textsuperscript{2,37–46}

Neurotransmitters and Violence

An oversimplification of the role of neurotransmitters in violence, particularly impulsive violence, involves hypothetical imbalances in the neurotransmitters dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5HT).\textsuperscript{7,43,48} In the prefrontal cortexes of aggressive patients, 5HT is decreased whereas DA is increased.\textsuperscript{7,43,48,62} Dopamine is involved in the initiation and performance of aggressive behaviors, and elevated levels of striatal DA have been reported in individuals with impulsive disorders; this hyperdopaminergia may weaken inhibitory pathways that regulate impulsivity.\textsuperscript{7,43,48,62–65} Notably, excessive DA in mesolimbic areas of the brain is also believed to underlie psychosis; not surprisingly, antagonism of dopamine D2 receptors forms the basis of antipsychotic treatments. This may be why high degrees of blocking dopamine D2 receptors may also have therapeutic actions in impulsive violence as well.

Serotonin modulates prefrontal activity; thus the serotonergic dysfunction observed in the OFC and in the anterior cingulate of aggressive patients suggests a lack of sufficient top-down control.\textsuperscript{7,43,48,62–65} In fact, during aggressive confrontations, 5HT levels in the PFC may decrease by as much as 80%.\textsuperscript{7} Aggressive behavior and suicide by violent means has been correlated with low cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of 5HIAA, which is a measure of 5HT concentration.\textsuperscript{51,63} Additionally, whereas 5HT depletion increases aggressive behavior, increasing 5HT levels brings about increased activity in the PFC as well as diminished aggression.\textsuperscript{64} This reduction in serotonergic activity observed in impulsively aggressive individuals is not found in patients with predatory aggression, which further supports the heterogeneic nature of violent behavior.\textsuperscript{23,57} The serotonin 5HT2A receptor in particular may be implicated in aggressive behavior: a recent study showed that availability of the 5HT2A receptor is greater in aggressive patients compared to non-aggressive patients or healthy controls.\textsuperscript{64} Contrary to the antiaggressive consequences of antagonism at 5HT2A receptors, agonism at 5HT2C receptors has also been shown to reduce impulsivity.\textsuperscript{65} Therefore, targeting of specific serotonergic subtypes, a feature of many atypical antipsychotics, has potential for antiaggressive therapies.

Targeting Psychotic and Impulsive Violence

Once violence has been assessed in the forensic setting and a psychiatric diagnosis has been made,\textsuperscript{1–36} the next step is to deconstruct the type of violence into its psychotic, impulsive, or predatory subtypes.\textsuperscript{36} Once the type of violence in the context of the specific DSM psychiatric diagnosis is known, the succeeding step is to find a rational treatment. The RDoC approach is to consider which brain circuits may be malfunctioning and thus hypothetically result in the unique symptom profile of an individual patient, which neurotransmitters may hypothetically regulate the efficiency of information processing in those brain circuits, and then finally, which psychopharmacologic treatments to select to
rationally reduce symptoms of violence by targeting the hypothetically malfunctioning brain circuits of a given patient.\textsuperscript{36} Interventions not only include rational psychopharmacologic measures, usually to occupy high proportions of dopamine D2 receptors, or act at serotonergic systems or ion channels, but also utilizing various psychotherapies such as dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) that can have potentially powerful therapeutic actions in selected patients.\textsuperscript{2}

In terms of empiric psychopharmacologic interventions that seem to be effective in some psychotic and impulsive patients who fail first-line, evidence-based treatments from randomized controlled trials, one current strategy is to achieve high degrees of dopamine D2 receptor occupancy by utilizing higher than normal doses of an antipsychotic, or even 2 concomitant antipsychotics, one often a depot formulation, while monitoring plasma drug levels.\textsuperscript{2–35}

\textbf{Summary}

Violence can be approached as a medical syndrome, and violence risk can be assessed as part of the psychiatric diagnostic evaluation. Specific subtypes of violence require unique treatment approaches for best outcomes. Conducting large, randomized, controlled trials is needed so that specific evidence-based treatments can be developed for subtypes of violence when first-line treatments fail. When large, randomized, controlled trials are not possible, further development of expert consensus-based and case-based treatments is needed for subtypes of violence.
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